## MICHAEL STARKEY AND SIDNEY MORRISON DECLARATION EXHIBIT 2 BUSINESS PROCESSES COMPARISON

### **Business Processes**

This analysis is not intended to endorse any ILEC/RBOC provisioning process or to suggest that any such processes would comply with appropriate forward looking cost methodology. This analysis is NOT a cost study. The purpose of this analysis is to provide a high level comparison between the worksteps and time involved in performing the five activities described below AS CURRENTLY UNDERTAKEN BY THE RBOCS WITHOUT ADEQUATE AUTOMATION (in the case of UNE-L hot cut scenarios), based upon general information gleaned by QSI from numerous proceedings across the nation. The intention of the analysis is to allow the reader to understand why certain activities take longer, cost more or introduce additional worksteps when compared to one of the other five activities.

Processes are organized by departmental responsibilities in a typical ILEC/RBOC structure. This structure is typical but not intended to represent any individual ILEC/RBOC.

The activities are demonstrated in this example as occuring in individual departments, however depending on the organizational structure of an actual ILEC/RBOC these activities may occur in different departments/organizations but represent activities that generically are perfromed by ILEC/RBOCs in the provisioning of services. The organization structure of activity task is not intended to endorse or recommend any organizational structure.

SERVICE	TOTAL TIME (Min)	Labor Rate Per Hour	Labor Rate Per Min	
UNE-L Hot Cut (coordinated)	59.26	\$56.00	\$0.93	
UNE-L Hot Cut (non coordinated)	44.58	\$56.00	\$0.93	
Retail to UNE-P Migration	4.50	\$56.00	\$0.93	
Retail to Resale Migration	4.50	\$56.00	\$0.93	
Retail POTS Installation (connected through)	6.10	\$56.00	\$0.93	

JATO				59.26				44.58
	MTOT	000	17/(01	60.0	TOTAL		JATOT	31 - S
Completion Billing Triggered	X			0.00	X			
Dunie	JATOT	00'50	JATOT	62'0	JATOT	96.26	TATOT	07.0
ease Service To Customer	X	90.2	5%	01.0	X	00'9	%7	01.0
nform Acceptance Testing	X	00.8	5%	01.0	X	5.00	7%	01.0
stall RT/FDI/SAI Service Cross Connect Wiring	X	00.01	%Z	02.0	X	00.01	%Z	02.0
avel To Provisioning Locations (cut date)	X	12.00	%7	0.30	X	15.00	%7	0.30
	TVLOL	20'00	JATOT	28.00	MICT	00.85	IATOT	D0.82
ald Operations (Iscilities change only								
mplete Order	X	2.00	%00ŀ	2.00	X	2,00	%001	2.00
ensfer/Disconnect)	X	5.00	%001	5.00	х	5.00	%001	00.8
Torm Physical Cut Activities on Due Date			9/ 001	2,00	x	2,00	%00l	00.Z
Ivel to CO or Remote CO on DD	X	3.00	%001	2,00	<del>-</del>	00,2	%001	5,00
my CLEC Dial Tone and Perform ANI Testing	<u>X</u>	00.8	%001	00.01	- <del>x</del>	00.0h	%001	00.01
Pre-Wire of Service/Cut	X	00.01	%001 %001	2,00	<del> </del>	2.00	%001	2.00
rify ILEC Dial Tone and AM	X X		%00t	2,00	- <del>^</del> -	2.00	%001	2.00
Ivel To CO or Remote CO (prior to due date)		Z.00	JATOT	90'5	TATOT	00.01	JATOT	80°L
Contral Office*	TVLOL	00'9t						
Р Асйуайол	X	2.00	7%	<b>₽</b> 0.0	<u> </u>	2,00	5%	\$0.0 \$0.0
1 Julock	X	2.00	%Z	<b>₱</b> 0.0	X	2,00	5%	\$0.0
VDL/LIDB Data Base Update	X	2.00	5%	<b>₽</b> 0.0	X	2.00	7%	37.0
nual Corrections of Sw as required	X	00.8	5%	91.0	X	00.8	5%	
atnemeniupeR as SSO mont anotalianent fur	X	2,00	%0 <b>b</b>	08.0	Х	2.00	%07	08.0
Sufuch Record Memory Change	JATOT	003	TATOT	00:0	IATOT	00:9	JATOT	
P Facilities Assign/Records Update	Х	3,00	%s	21.0	X	3.00	%S	31.0
estebqU broceAnngiseA trientqiup3 (	X	3.00	%9	21.0	X	3'00	<b>%</b> 9	81.0
Local Assignment Center	<b>TOTAL</b>	00.8E	1V101	89'88	IATOT	00,11	JATOT	00.F1
der Completion/Reschedule	X	3.00	%00L	3,00	X	3.00	%00L	3.00
помраск Моййсайоп	X	3,00	%ł	0.03			L	
Order Problems and/or Reschedule Completion	X	€,00	%S	0.25				
		0010	%08	2,40	<del></del>	<del> </del>	<del></del>	
wify CLEC Acceptance	<u> </u>	3,00	%08	30.5		<del> </del> -	<del></del>	
is Date Coordination (pre cut call, verify IDLC implete, time of cut and post cut confirmation)	*	003	7008	007				
e Cut DD & Confirm with CLEC	X	00°S	%08	00.1	1			
le Date Notification	X	00.E	%08	2.40	X	3.00	%00L	3.00
e Date Establishment	X	3.00	%08	2,40				
nify Date with Ordering CLEC	$\frac{\hat{x}}{x}$	4.00	%08	3.20				
der Analysis	x	00 S	%08	4.00	X	5.00	%00L	5.00
Coordination	TOINT	38.00	TVLOL	3'20	LAYOT	DO'SE	זסואנ	OS'E
	X	10.00	%0L	1.00	×	00.01	<b>40%</b>	00.1
eneration ther Confirmation to Ordering CLEC	- <del>^</del> -	15.00	%01	09'L	- <del>x</del> - 1	15.00	%01	1.50
ervice Order Processing and Internal Order			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	L	
eceipt of Service Order	X	00.01	%0L	00.1	X	00.01	%0L	00.h
genebril solvres								(aa)
YTIVITOA	UNE-L Hot Cut (coordinated)	UME-L Hot Cut (coordinated) Time (Min.)	% Occurrence	Cost Per Activity D X E=F (Min.)	UNE-L Hot Cut	UNE-L Hot Cut (non coordinated) Time (Min.)	% eccurrence Totas i	Cost Per Activit C X E=F (Min.)

## MICHAEL STARKEY AND SIDNEY MORRISON DECLARATION EXHIBIT 3 VERIZON HOT CUT DATA

Vest   Fund   Vest   Fund   Vest   Fund   Vest							nt Hot Cut Pricin	9 1-7			VZ Proposed	Hot Cut Pricing	(5,6,7,8)		
Assertivetts	Yew Yark		2003 UNE-P Lines (1)		Hot Cut Price	Cut Price (SL1)	Current Hot Cut Price	Price (\$L2/Designed)		Proposed Basic Hot Cut Price	Proposed Basic	c Proposed Basic 4 Wire Hot Cut	Proposed Basic 4-wire		The second second
hold Island 892,997   18.31% \$ 35.00 \$				-010070			\$ 35.00				(Addi. Cine)	Price (Initial Line)		(Initial Line)	
Asyland   27,082   0.56%   \$ 41.46   0.78   0.50%   \$ 35.00   \$										\$ 109.76	\$ 46.02				(Addi. Line)
136,500 6,90% 310,00 6,90% 350,00 5 35						. 55.55					70.00	101,01	09.22		*
strict of Columbia 511,156 10,48%, Na			336,500	6.90%			n/a				\$ 41.63	107.40	33.00	\$ 55.40	74.01
claware 29,764 0.61% \$ 19.00 \$ 19.00 \$ 19.00 \$ 19.00 \$ 5.0				10.48%		- 55.00	\$ 35.00				\$ 53.05	167.00	39.19		55.77
rginia 13,015 0,72% \$ 35.00 \$ 19.00 \$ 19.00 \$ 19.00 \$ 69.52 \$ 33.33 \$ 97.17 \$ 47.01 \$ 50.24 \$ 28.6 whampshire 297.177 6,09% \$ 13.49													14.47	\$ 73.77	\$ 49.44
## Hampshire	r <del>gi</del> nia					10.00	10.00	\$ 19.00					34.96	58.16	\$ 35,16
Primont 29,273 0,60% \$ 29.52 n/a \$ 161.70 \$ 50.89 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a 52.77 \$ 29.30 n/a	w Hampshire					- 00.00	30.00				\$ 34,89		47.01		\$ 28.69
inte 15,072 6.31% rva rva 121.69 rva							01.70	\$ 50.89			n/a		70.73	52.77	\$ 29.30
Virginia         6216         613%         n/a								n/a						n/a	n/a
Total 4,877,921 100,00% \$ 36.81 \$ 37.35 \$ 47.79 \$ 34.40	Virginia							n/a						n/a	n/a
Total 4,877,921 100.00% \$ 36.81 \$ 37.35 \$ 47.79 \$ 34.40		-			nate 9			n/a							n/a
37.35 \$ 47.79 \$ 34.00 A IVA IVA IVA IVA		Total	4,877,921 1	00.00%	\$ 25.04			note 9							n/a
Year End 2003 UNE-P kine data taken from FCC Report "RBOC_Local_Telephone_Dec_2003"  72 only provides any ord per manager's area (150/day in 2 offices on a 150/day in 2 offic						. 07.00	\$ 47.79	34.40	Avg.			nva	n/a	n/a n/a	n/a

<sup>1.</sup> Year End 2003 UNE-P line data taken from FCC Report "RBOU\_Local\_Telephone\_Dec\_2003"
2. VZ only provides any vol per manager's area (150/day in 2 offices per mgr's area) as a scalability projection - batch stated as critical mass.- calculation estimate based on any number of manager's areas in NY, RI, and Md. (average manager's areas from NY, RI, Md initial testimony in cases 02-C-1425.3550&2681, and 8988 respectively, and number of Co's taken from FCC AMIS Report 43-07 dated Dec. 2003.)

<sup>(</sup>average manager's areas from NY,RI, Md initial testimony in cases 02-C-1425,3550&2681, and 8988 respectively, and number of Co's taken from FCC AMIS Report 43-07 dated Dec. 2003.)

3. State specific monthly hot cut date based upon avg. of hot cuts reported by Verizon in PAP reports filed with state commissions April-June 04, subcategory P-9-01. The Data are available to users who have access to WISE. 3. State specific monthly hot cut date based upon avg. of hot cuts reported by Vertzon in PAP reports filed with state commissions April-June 04, subcategory P-9-U1. The Data are available for market in Support Current hot cut rates provided by respective state Commission Staffs.

4. Information is suspect. Current hot cut rates provided by respective state Commission Staffs.

5. Proposed rates for NY, Mass., NJ, R.J.,MD., Pa., D.C., taken from VZ. testimony public exhibit III-F Case 02-C-1425 Supp-V docket No. D.T.E. 03-60 Exhibit III-E Docket No. T003090705, Exhibit III-E Docket No. 8988,Exhibit III-D Docket No. M.00031754 respectively.

EXTIDUTE INCLUSION NO. 3500 BITIS ZOD I, EXTIDUT BITE CARRENDO, 6900, EXTIDUT BITED DOCKET NO. M-00031754 respect

6. VZ did not confest Impairment in Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, and West Virginia- proposed rates not filled.

7. Virginia did not initiate TRO related impairment proceeding - waited for outcome of DC Circuit Court Ruling.

Vergene did not initiate: ITAL related imperment procedury: waited for our
 Delaware did not initiate hot out proceeding - waiting for ALJ ruling in NY

Desaware out not muster for our proceeding - waiting to rule ruling at ret.
 Hot Cut hat fiftem- negotiated as part of interconnect agreements - per Commission Staff
 IDLC Surcharge applies per line served via IDLC and is in addition to Field/Dispatch Charges that may apply.

Field Installation/Dispatch charges are based on tarriffed rates, and will be charged for each requested or required dispatch.

## MICHAEL STARKEY AND SIDNEY MORRISON DECLARATION EXHIBIT 4 QWEST HOT CUT DATA

### Qwest Regional Hot Cut Pricing Data

					Cu	rrent Hot C	ıt Pr	icing(1)	-	osed Hot Pricing (2)
State	ž	Year End 2003 UNE-P Lines (3)	% Total			ent Hot Cut - Initial		rrent Hot Cut - Iditional	-	osed Hot ut Price
Arizona	==	176,502	18.20%		\$	53.86	\$	46.40	\$	45.96
Colorado		124,261	12.81%		\$	55.27	\$	48.77	\$	45.96
ldaho		22,201	2.29%		\$	11.03	\$	6.07	\$	45.96
lowa		69,213	7.14%		\$	46.01	\$	46.01	\$	45.96
Minnesota		168,961	17.42%		\$	2.38	Š	2.38	\$	45.96
Montana		8,323	0.86%		\$	55.27	\$	48.77	\$	45.96
Nebraska		37,222	3.84%		\$	55.27	\$	48.77	\$	45.96
New Mexico		19,406	2.00%		\$	51.94	\$	48.77	Š	45.96
North Dakota		21,123	2.18%		\$	55.27	Ś	48.77	\$	45.96
Oregon		101,780	10.49%		\$	10.75	\$	10.13	\$	45.96
South Dakota		25,619	2.64%		\$	55.27	\$	48.77	\$	45.96
Utah		48,963	5.05%		\$	29.10	\$	25.75	\$	45.96
Washington		115,308	11.89%		\$	37.53	\$	34.78	\$	45.96
Wyoming		31,054	3.20%		\$	55.27	\$	48.77	\$	45.96
	Total	969,936	100.00%	Avg.	\$	41.02	\$	36.64	\$	45.96

<sup>(1)</sup> Unbundled two-wire analog loop taken from Qwest SGAT, available at: www.qwest.com/wholesale/clecs/sgatswireline.html

<sup>(2)</sup> Data taken from Millon Testimony, Docket No. T-00000A-03-0369 (1/23/04), p. 9.

<sup>(3)</sup> Year end 2003 UNE-P Line Count taken from RBOC\_Local\_Telephone\_Dec\_2003.xls available at: www.fcc.gov/wcb/iatd/comp.html

## MICHAEL STARKEY AND SIDNEY MORRISON DECLARATION EXHIBIT 5 SBC HOT CUT DATA

# SBC Regional Hot Cut Pricing Data

				Current Hot (	Cut Prioling (2)	•	Proposed Hot Cut Pricing - Enhanced Dally P	chig - Enhanced	Dally Process (3		Propo	Proposed Hot Cut Pr	ricing - Define	Batch			Prop	osed Hot Cut	roposed Hot Cut Pricing - Bulk Projec	Project		
	Year En				Current Hot																	
	2003 UNE	5.		Current Hot Cu	r Cet																	
State		Unest (1) % Total		· Braffan	· initial additional		Ē	Œ.	OTC.	FOT Basic	ask FDT Expanded	۰	HC Basic CHC	HC Expanded	OLC	FDT BasicFD	Expander FDT	Premium CH	C Basic 3HC Ex	cpandechtc F	verniun	DKC
Cartfornia	1,305,6	918 20.81		\$ 18.87	7 \$ 12.88		\$ 14.70 \$	20.73 \$	79.10	\$	\$ 197	\$ 74.01	12.89 \$	12.75	77.36	\$ 10.58 \$	10.71 \$	11.31	12.67 \$	12 73	13.56	77.33
Il finois	854.4	13.62±		\$ 50.13	3 \$ 50.13		\$ 29.84 \$	33.92 \$	89 31	\$ 25	•	\$ 29:92	26.64 \$	26.92	88.65	\$ 25.21 \$	26.54	27.68 \$	26.57 \$	\$ 98.93	28.30	88.65
hoffers	283.4	730 4.519		22.48	8 \$ 15.55		\$ 27.71 \$	30.25	57.92	22		24.12 \$	23.80 \$	25.08	56.52	\$ 22.87 \$	24.10 \$	26.58	23 79 \$	25.05	27.77 \$	56.51
Kansas	- R	192 3.165		\$ 28.45	5 \$ 13.55		\$ 43.60 \$	48.92 \$	108 50	35	•	36.82	34.83	35.65	103.99	\$ 35.82 \$	36.78	46.94 44.94	34.79 \$	35.62	39.49	103.98
Michigan	1,204.5	62.61 096		\$ 17.82	2 \$ 17.82		\$ 47.96 \$	\$2.66	73.89	* •	36.70 \$	37.73 \$	37 60 \$	\$ 62,68	96.99	\$ 36.06 \$	37.68 \$	40.69	37.55 \$	39.24 \$	42.85 \$	69.94
Ohlo	671.2	254 10,705		30.61	1 \$ 39.61		\$ 28.82 \$	33 78 \$	62.54	23		25.04 55	23.84 \$	25.12 \$	61.02	\$ 23.69 \$	25.03 \$	23.81	25.11	28.09 S	27.70 \$	61.01
Otdahoma	75.1	182 1.205		37.50	0 \$ 15.65		\$ 55.04	62.24 \$	130.23	36	**	39.52	42.63	43.64	125.32	\$ 38.61 \$	39.46	43.47 \$	42.57 \$	43.58	48.59	125.28
Texas	1,452,8	W2 23,155		\$ 15.03	3 \$ 6.22		\$ 55.31	\$ PZ 65	138.63			13.10 \$	42.89 \$	43.45 \$	137.48	\$ 42.43 \$	43.06 \$	49.48	42.85 \$	43.41 \$	\$ 55.8	137.47
Wincomén	228.5	3.65		30.64	30.64		\$ 90.92 \$	29.62	86	×	··	20.74 \$	21.90 \$	21.90 \$	63.63	\$ 8902 \$	20.72	22.82	21.89 \$	21.89 \$	24.27 \$	63.62
	Total 6,274,5	\$36 E00,009	ķ	31.05	5 \$ 21.45	Avg	\$ 36.66 \$	41.Dk &	₩ 55-53	Avg \$ 28		29.27 \$	29,65	30.42	87.10 Avg	\$ 28.44 \$	29.23	31.75	29.75 \$	30.50	33.54	87.09

(1) Year of 201 UNE 2 in Tour Case and American Expenses are the govinable activity for the control of the cont

### 

### BellSouth Regional Hot Cut Pricing Data

	-	<del></del> _					Current	Hot Cut Pricing	(3,4	1,5)		_	R	во	C Proposed	l Hot	Cut Pricing	(3,4,5)	<u></u>
State	:	Year End 2003 UNE-P Lines (1)	% Total		Current Hot Cut Price (SL1)	c	urrent Hot Cut Price (SL1) dditional	Current Hot Cut Price (SL2/Designed)		Current Hot Cut Price (SL2/Designed) additional			posed Hot Cut Price (SL1)	Çu	roposed Hot It Price (SL1) additional	•	osed Hot Cut Price 2/Designed)		oposed Hot Cut e (SL2/Designed) additional
Alabama		204,494	8.41%		\$ 64.09	<b>S</b> .	37.51	\$ 106.13	\$	66.80			57.68	•	33.27	æ	95.52	•	60.12
Florida		658,818			\$ 58.57		31.83		•			4	51.74		26.62	-	121.20	•	50.12 50.15
Georgia		558,102	22.94%		\$ 58.94			•	-	O.L. 11		\$	53.05	-	14.82		71.87	¢	22.19
Kentucky		144,047	5.92%		\$ 55.66	\$	31.57	\$ 134.89	Š			s.	55.66	-	31.57		134.89	¢	81.87
Louisiana		195,535	8.04%		\$ 44.46	- \$	24,79					\$	44.46		24.79	-	102.10		65.72
Mississippi		147,406	6.06%		\$ 46.12	\$	25.75	\$ 105.96	\$	68.28		Š	46.12	*	25.75	•	105.96	•	68.28
North Carolina		174,300	7.17%		\$ 80.97	\$	56.44	\$ 138.61	\$			Š	72.87		42.88	7	124.75		31.65
South Carolina		117,219	4.82%		\$ 46.09	\$	25. <b>7</b> 9	\$ 105.98	\$	68.47		\$	46.09		25.79	•	105.98		68.47
Tennessee		232,448	9.56%		\$ 68.51	\$	56.54	\$ 75.06	\$	48.20		\$	68.51		56.54		75.06		48.20
	Total	2,432,369	100.00%	Avg.	\$ 58.16	\$	35,46	\$ 109.37	\$	67.09	Avg.	\$	55.13	\$	31.34	<u> </u>	104.15	\$	55.18

<sup>1.</sup> Year End 2003 UNE-P line data taken from FCC Report "RBOC\_Local\_Telephone\_Dec\_2003"

<sup>2.</sup> State specific monthly migration data calculated based upon BellSouth's monthly estimate of 347,254 region-wide hot cuts and year end 2003 weighting. (See public version of A.H. Direct at page 8 in FPSC Docket No. 030851-TP)

<sup>3.</sup> Current and proposed hot cut pricing for AL, GA, and NC taken from public exhibit EX. JAR-4 in APSC Docket No. 29054, Ex. JAR-4 in GPSC Docket No. 17749-U, and Ex. JAR-4 in NCUC Docket No.P-100, Sub 133, respectively.

<sup>4.</sup> Current and proposed hot cut pricing for FL is taken from BS' public response to Staff's 1st set of Interrogatories, Item No.3 in FPSC Docket No. 030851-TP.

<sup>5.</sup> Where not specifically indicated otherwise, BellSouth's Rate is comprised of the loop NRC plus the manual order coordination rate-both taken from the Company's standard ICA. ( http://www.interconnection.bellsouth.com/become\_a\_clec/html/ics\_agreement.html).

<sup>6.</sup> Data taken from BellSouth's public Responses to AT&T's First Set of Interrogatories, Item No.4 in GAPSC Docket No. 17749-U.

## MICHAEL STARKEY AND SIDNEY MORRISON DECLARATION EXHIBIT 7 QWEST IDLC DATA

October 4, 2004

### **Qwest IDLC Data By State**

		% IDLC By State	Max % IDLC In Wire Center
ΑZ	Arizona	17%	68%
CO	Colorado	15%	65%
ID	Idaho	12%	52%
IΑ	lowa	3%	18%
MN	Minnesota	8%	28%
MT	Montana	17%	52%
NE	Nebraska	5%	20%
NM	New Mexico	15%	74%
ND	North Dakota	9%	25%
OR	Oregon	8%	52%
SD	South Dakota	4%	23%
UT	Utah	10%	53%
WA	Washington	10%	51%
WY	Wyoming	6%	46%

data from Qwest website <a href="http://www.uswest.com/cgi-bin/iconn/dlc.cgi">http://www.uswest.com/cgi-bin/iconn/dlc.cgi</a>

Methodology: IDLC loops / total loops

The above table shows the percentage of IDLC loops by Qwest state as, well as the highest percentage of IDLC loops exhibited by a wire center within those states. For instance, the state-wide IDLC concentration in Arizona is 10%, compared to a wire center within Arizona that exhibits a 68% IDLC concentration.

### DEFINITIONS TOTAL LOOPS

All loops/pairs installed in the network and assignable from OSP feeder terminals. This includes assigned, working, defective and spare pairs.

#### **LOOPS AVAILABLE**

Loops/pairs that are not assigned, working or defective (i.e., spare pairs), but are available to carry traffic and are assignable from OSP feeder terminals.

#### **LOOPS IN SERVICE**

Loops/pairs that are active and carrying traffic (i.e., working pairs) from assignable OSP feeder terminals.

#### LOOPS W/ INTEGRATED DLC

All loops/pairs installed in the network that originate from an Integrated DLC system and are assignable from OSP feeder terminals.

This includes assigned, working, defective and spare Integrated DLC pair gain pairs.

#### LOOPS W/ UNIVERSAL DLC


All loops/pairs installed in the network that originate from a Universal DLC system and are assignable from OSP feeder terminals.

This includes assigned, working, defective and spare Universal DLC pair gain pairs.

Qwest data for Arixona shows that, state-wide, 17% of total loops are served by IDLC, with one wire center exhibiting an IDLC concentration of 68%. Out of the total 130 Qwest wire center exhibiting an IDLC concentrations of DLC concentrations of 20% or higher (serving 1,389,631 loops). Twenty five (25) wire centers have IDLC concentrations between 21%-40%, welve (12) wire centers have IDLC concentrations between 21%-60%, and three (3) wire centers have IDLC concentrations of between 61%-80%. The diagram below depicts the wire-center specific IDLC data that is contained in the far left table, by CLLI code.

F68,88E,	١	510	ì
1 to 11 to 1		.00ps in COs with 20%	1
484,234	7	Sdoon Irlo	ĺ
286,977	artini di Mari	Oope on IDLC	Ì
%89	Quest. 141	GRINA Teline	ï
		lighest % IDLC in Wire	1
%L1	1. 1.	O Total Loops on IDLC	ß
		Anzona Statistics	_

Number of		Concentration
£09,4€0,E	06	0% - 50%
<b>704,774</b>	SZ	%0 <del>b</del> - %12
866,858	121	%09 - %lt
691 99 L	<b>€</b>	%08 - %L9
0	[0 [	%00L - %18
4,484,234	130	Totals



	7aa	72"		
%0t	824,2	074,S r	24,556	AMZAVRWW
%9Z		608, F F	170,71	MGLSAZMW
%G	£67	01/8,2	874,01	MGESAZMA
<b>%0</b>	<u>-</u>	2,555	3,444	MSPKAZMA
<b>%2</b> 9	790.2	DE1, D	828,8	MRCPAZMA
<b>%0</b>	-	278	E14,1	AMSAHTMM
%0	-	1,803	3,180	AMZAMAIM
%9	E18,8	868,17	142,785	MESAAZMA
% <b>**</b> *	954,14	47,320	019,69	IÐZ <u>A</u> A≳∃M
2%	969	£87,8	14,062	AMSANAAM
%/9	22, rS	S0,059	37,725	LTPKAZMA
%0	<u>-</u>	96Z' L	2,144	KRNYAZMA
<b>%</b> 0		029	7/1/L	12CXAZMA
%0	<u>-                                      </u>	887	1,861	AMZANGYH
%ZE	711,5	810,8	469'9	HMBLAZMA
%Þ9	907,81	801,41	24,364	HGLYAZOC
%9S	13,902	10,244	116,42	HGLYAZMA
%11	p1p	2,463	₹06'€	GRCNAZMA
%9£	311,01	18,215	27,863	GNVYAZMA
%0		069,7	196,11	GLOBAZMA
%l	769	086,21∕	818,67	GLDLAZMA
%0	<u>-</u>	1,127	1,892	GLBNAZMA
%EE	₱ <del>6</del> 8,7	140,ET	23,662	GDYRAZCW
%L	982	3,004	818,6	ONZADMTH
% <b>*</b> 1	366,6	0£6'91	198,42	<b>AMZAGMT</b>
%E1	E16	153,4	691,7	FLRNAZMA
%0		2,606	9,530	OSZVSO1
<b>%91</b>	966,8	£89,6S3	680,01A	FLGSAZMA
% <b>Z</b> I	€08,E	15,910	21,812	FLGSAZEA
<b>%E</b>	324	<b>769</b> '9	10,134	ELOYAZ01
%\$7	£80,1€	32,133	001,07	DRVYAZNO
%0	-	122,8	11,323	DGF8AZMA
%0		429	098	DDVLAZNM
%ZS	398,Y1	£98,e1	34,026	CVCKAZMA
%\Z	5,214	S47,71	24,300	CTWDAZMA
%1C	780,01	384,02	500,55	CZGRAZMA
<b>%0</b> 7	891/9	12,209	16,335	CRNDAZMA
%bb	1,324	711,2	3,000	CRCYAZMM
%9l	977, r	178,7	866,01	CMVRAZMA
72%	2,081	148,8	EE4 6	CLDGAZMA
<b>%8</b> 1	2,083	017,8	367,01	CHVYAZMA
%9 <del>1</del>	164,62	30,314	<b>297,82</b>	CHNDAZWE
% <b>&gt;</b> >>	14,624	879,02	876,66	CHNDAZSO
%DÞ	42,187	192'61	270,801	CHNDAZMA
%Þ\$	36,353	39,850	192'29	BRDSAZMA
%0	-	1,068	029'1	BNSNAZSD
%0	-	6,330	9 <del>1</del> 2'Z	BNSNAZMA
<b>%0</b>	-	9£9,1	2,148	8LCNAZMA
%0	-	5,030	£04.7	AMZABSIB
	.]	1		
%G	860,r	12,581	22,056	BCKAYZWA
%6	94	1/89	858	<b>VZEKAZMA</b>
<b>%E9</b>	35,203	28,084	₱68' <u>9</u> 9	AGEIAZSR
ou IDLC	DFC jugeduspeq	ju zeraice	SdoG1	CITI Code
leto! %	/M sdoor)	sdoon	1830 [	
		and the second of the second o		

ORCLAZMA	2,568	1,874		0%
PAGEAZMA	8,151	4,999	444	
PHNXAZ81	13,594	7,283	399	
PHNXAZBW	24,552	12,934	2,578	
PHNXAZCA	121,878	57,890	32,780	
PHNXAZEA	75,104	31,059	797	
PHNXAZGR	123,641	61,217	12,778	
PHNXAZLV	8,538	4,683	2,852	
PHNXAZMA	141,610	48,587	<u> </u>	0%
PHNXAZMR	83,219	49,913	13,003	
PHNXAZMY	55,027	27,856	1,210	2%
PHNXAZNE	98,291	51,385	2,915	3%
PHNXAZNO	179,453	70,261		0%
PHNXAZNW	80,548	37,281	751	1%
PHNXAZPP	20,023	12,041	79	0%
PHNXAZPR	60,310	33,470	4,631	
PHNXAZSE	36,464	18,414	1,031	3%
PHNXAZSO	48,731	30,862	6,236	13%
PHNXAZSY	92,153	39,677	2,766	
PHNXAZWE	63,303	31,804	1,898	
PIMAAZMA	1,865	1,476		0%
PINEAZMA	3,911	2,816	336	9%
PLMNAZMA	1,777	1,246		0%
PRSCAZEA	23,605	19,200	9,523	40%
PRSCAZMA	57,398	40,865	15,560	27%
PRVYAZPP	76,201	39,247	51,815	68%
PTGNAZEL	1,732	1,310		0%
PTGNAZMA	1,231	892	-	0%
PYSNAZMA	18,067	13,459	5.402	30%
SCDLAZMA	102,087	51,072	2,143	2%
SCDLAZSH	60,312	29,466	29,782	49%
SCDLAZTH	114,148	60,837	22,748	20%
SEDNAZMA	16,781	12,259	3,214	
SEDNAZSO	6,576	5,435	458	7%
SFFRAZMA	14,892	10,474	2,290	15%
SMTNAZMA	11,841	9,475	924	8%
SNMNAZMA	2,800	1,803		0%
SPRRAZMA	2,077	1,390		0%
SPRSAZEA	46,140	30,058	14 364	31%
SPRSAZMA	67,258	38,961		14%
SPRSAZWE	125,521	66,550	21,692	
SRVSAZMA	36,353	22,617		14%
SRVSAZNO	3,285	2,419	0,201	0%
SRVSAZSO	11,174	9,225	443	4%
STFDAZMA	2,523	1,470		0%
TCSNAZCA	38,400	26,550	7,234	
TCSNAZCO	31,943	22,477		29%
TCSNAZCR	57,376	31,101	768	1%
TCSNAZEA	86,590	48,518	2,925	
TCSNAZFW	51,264	29,214	1,026	2%
TCSNAZMA	110,214	52,510	5,219	5%
TCSNAZML	1,196	390	3,219	0%
TCSNAZNO	71,446	48,767	4,397	6%
TCSNAZRN	100,425	59,861	6,888	
TCSNAZSE	16,764	8,648	3,505	
TCSNAZSO	58,703	32,168	2,198	
TCSNAZSW	28,201	19,809		4%
	~0,201	-, 5,009	7,446	26%


TCSNAZTV T	17,440	11,864	5,541	32%
TCSNAZWE	8,488	6,069	-	0%
TEMPAZMA	102,126	45,450	8,035	8%
TEMPAZMC	104,629	60,889	868	1%
TLSNAZMA	35,382	15,979	17,883	51%
TMBSAZMA	1,763	1,402	-1	0%
TNCKAZMA	1,704	1,229	432	25%
TUBCAZMA	4,072	2,780		0%
VAILAZNO	2,671	1,765	809	30%
VAILAZSO	5,564	3,535	1,632	29%
WCBGAZMA	8,087	5,892	953	12%
WHTKAZMA	4,375	2,802	1,058	24%
WHTLAZMA	1,179	722	-600	0%
WLCXAZMA	5,615	4,196	- 11	0%
WLMSAZMA	5,565	3,888	836	15%
WLTNAZMA	3,634	2,320	-	0%
WNSLAZMA	7,505	4,643	657	9%
YRNLAZMA	2,181	1,722	344	18%
YUMAAZFT	19,219	13,391	7,201	37%
YUMAAZMA	40,506	27,729	4,686	12%
YUMAAZSE	36.831	23 239	7 610	21%

A STATE OF THE STA	Total	Loops	Loops wi	% Total
	Loops	In Service	Integrated	Loops
	roops.	MI GOLAICE		
CLLI Code			DLC	on IDLC
ACKLIACO	2,550	1,451		
ADELIACO	4,129	2,978		0% 0%
ALGNIATO			-	
ALGINIATO	6,842	3,653		0%
ALNAIACO	14.000	0.077		
	14,983	9,977	<u>-</u>	0%
AMESIATO	24,856	15,693	-	0%
AMESIAWS	23,269	9,177	- 4050	0%
ANKNIACO	32,296	21,047	4,858	15%
ANMSIACO ANTHIACO	5,888	3,733	102	2%
	1,319	654		0%
ARPKIACO_	5,938	3,104		0%
ATLTIATO	7,367	5,382		0%
BOONIACO	12,410	8,757		0%
BRBGIACO	746	264	-	0%
BRTTIACO	2,780	1,590		0%
BURLIATO	32,237	20,001	2,284	7%
CCVLIACO	1,133	540	-	0%
CDFLIACO	27.978	16,952	255	1%
CDRRIADT	78,264	37,481	2,793	4%
CORRIAMN	24,973	17,386	84	0%
CORRIANO	32,048	19,032	3,451	11%
DRRIAWS	21,392	10,195	_	0%
CGGNIACO	1,014	574	-	0%
CHCYIATC	8,784	6,128	-	0%
CHRKIACO	7,628	4,184	-	0%
CLFXIACO	2,542	1,541		0%
CLMRIACO	1,560	946	-	0%
CLRIIACO	3,288	2,316	_	0%
CLTNIACC	4,016	2,146		0%
CLTNIACO	24,309	15,455	171	1%
CNBLIAMW	7.566	3,342	-	0%
CNBLIAWA	46,453	19,081	184	0%
CNPNIACO	2,767	1,651		0%
RLSIACO	4.157	2,687	-	0%
CRRLIATO	9,979	7,590		0%
CRSCIACO	2,009	1,242		0%
CRHIACO	9,805	6,744		0%
DESMIAAW	62,487	38,690	11,223	18%
DESMIADT	114,460	45,011	11,220	0%
DESMIAEA	50,467	33,113	553	19
DESMIANW	59,183	38,283	4,405	76
DESMIASO	28,386	18,835	4,137	15%
DESMIAWS	45,303	28,500	4,137	157
DIKEIACO	1,316	876		
DLCTIACE	1,938		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0% 0%
NBRIACO	1,936	1,181		
		468	-	0%
NVRIACO	3,280	1,626	-	0%
DUBQIANW	3,400	1,430	-	0%
UBQIATC	60,729	39,669	337	1%
OVNPIADT	48,672	23,273		0%
VNPIAEA	31,495	20,568	4,684	15%
OVNPIANE	12,944	8,791	-	0%
WNAIGNW	35,042	22,875	1,403	4%
OVNPIAWS	6,489	3,829		09
GGVIACO	4,168	2,215		0%

Iowa Statistics					
% Total Loops or	IDL	2			3%
Highest % IDLC in Wire Center DESMIAAW					18%
Loops on IDLC	1111	·			53,310
Total Loops				. 1	,736,222
Loops in COs w/ 20%+ IDLC			11		0

IDLC Concentration	Number of Wire Centers	Number of Loops
0% - 20%	135	1,736,222
21% - 40%	0	-
41% - 60%	0	-
61% - 80%	0	-
81% - 100%	0	
Totals	135	1,736,222

Qwest data for lowa shows that, state-wide, 3% of total loops are served by IDLC, with one wire center exhibiting an IDLC concentration of 18%. Out of the total 135 Qwest wire centers in lowa, zero (0) wire centers have IDLC concentrations of 20% or higher. The diagram below depicts the wire-center specific IDLC data that is contained in the far left table, by CLLI code.



http://www.uswest.com/cgi-bin/iconn/dlc.cg

ERHMIACO	1,475	922	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0%
ESVLIACO	8,633		· · · ·	0%
FTMDIATC	12,886	7,650		0%
GLCYIACO	991			0%
GLWDIACO	6.731	5,196		0%
GRMSIACO	8,212	4,963		0%
GRNGIACO	2,585	1,174	<u> </u>	0%
GRNRIACO	3,333	2,283		0%
HDSNIACO	2,442	1,703		
HMBGIACO	2,188	1,127		0%
HMBLIACO	5,886		-	0%
HMPNIACO		4,299		0%
INDNIACO	5,811	3,493	-	0%
	13,921	9,287		0%
INDPIACO	7,523	4,396		0%
IWCYIATC	80,505	44,389	8,637	11%
IWFLIACO	6,234	4,390		0%
JEWLIACO	1,696	808		0%
KEKKIACO	13,663	7,781		0%
LKPKIACO	1,818	867	-	0%
LNNGIACO	1,296	1,028	:	0%
LRNSIACO	2,403	394	-	0%
LVMRIACO	1,540	786	-	0%
LVRNIACO	711	287	-	0%
MLFRIACO	3,161	1,924	-	0%
MLVRIACO	1,919	1,154		0%
MNLYIACO	1,812	874		0%
MNTIIACO	5,402	3,403		0%
MPTNIACO	1,951	467		0%
MOKTIACO	7,811	4,885	-	0%
MRRLIACO	1,031	632		
MRTWIASO	27,734		-	0%
MSCTIACO	25,632	16,026		0%
MSCYIATC		15,461		0%
	28,405	18,349	598	2%
MSVYIACO	4,543	3,025		0%
MTVRIACO	4,723	2,188	-	0%
NASHIACO	1,837	1,347	-	0%
NEOLIACO	1,402	728		0%
NHFRIACO	1,217	653		0%
NRWLIACO	5,625	3,540		0%
NWODIACO	2,966	1,716		0%
OLWNIATO	7.048	4,074	-	0%
ONAWIACO	3,312	1,344	_	0%
OSAGIACO	4,443	1,857		0%
OSKLIACO	12,476	8,640	-	0%
OTTMIATC	26,227	16,746		0%
PCHNIACO	3,616	1,508		0%
PKCYIACO	2,541	1,436		0%
PRBGIACO	2,168	1,521		0%
PRCYIACO	1,576	1,086		
PRRYIACO	7,195	4,592		0%
RDOKIACO	7,148	4,662		0%
RNLSIACO	936			0%
RNWCIACO	794	828		0%
		372		0%
RSHLIACO	847	246	-	0%
SHLNIATC	5,747	3,504		0%
SHNDIACO	6,095	4,033	-	0%
SPLKIACO	9,915	5,811		0%
SPNCIATO	12,168	4,297		0%
STLKIATC	11,633	4,409		0%
STRTIACO	2,160	1,502	-	0%


		20.054	4 4071	2%
SXCYIADT	58,753	30,354	1,437	
SXCYIALD	6,628	3,992		0%
SXCYIAMS	18,757	12,035	•	0%
SXRPIACO	1,411	657	-	0%
UNWDIACO	1,906	1,332	-	0%
VNMTIACO	1,972	1,054	-	0%
VNTNIACO	5,666	3,829	-	0%
WAKNIACO	4,716	3,607		0%
WAUKIACO	12,130	6,298	1,459	12%
WBCYIATC	8,097	5,391	255	3%
WHMRIACO	896	487	_	0%
WHNGIACO	1,079	228	-	0%
WHTNIACO	2,080	995		0%
WLCTIACO	2,818	1,147	-	0%
WLMSIACO	834	419	-	0%
WNTRIACO	6,268	3,965		0%
WSLYIACO	1,094	443	-	0%
WTRLIADT	66,882	39,458		0%
WTRLIAWS	4,473			0%
WUNNIACO	3,311			0%
WYRLIACO	8,683			0%

	Total	Loops	Loops w/	% Total
	Loops	In Service	Integrated DLC	Loops
CLLI Code			Utile	on IDLC
AFACCOMA	7,839	2,066	480	6%
AGLECOMA	1,800	622	400	0%
ALMSCOMA		7,888		0*
ALMSCOMA	12,056	/,000		y y
	0.004			
ALPKCOMA	2,884	1,166		0%
ARVDCOMA	111,954	66,311	2,935	3%
ASPECOMA	25,831	17,277	1,316	59
AULTCOMA	4,026	2,077	-	09
AURRCOMA	100,902	45,891	14,547 433	149
AURRCOMB	1,047	566	433	419
AVDLCOMA	3,165	1,077		09
AVONCOMA	16,847	11,165	2,235	137
BALYCOMA	18,285	12,710	845	59
BITNCOMA	32,945	19,363	15,688	489
BLDRCOGB [	13,958	8,610	1,981	149
BLDRCOMA	112,461	52,367	25,873	237
BLFSCOMA	15,861	11,003	6,751	439
BNVSCOMA	7,117	5,038	-	09
BRFDCOMA	83,134	47,536	17,420	219
BRRGCOMA	16,710	11,321	1,249	79
BRSHCOMA	5,194	3,670		69
BRTHCOMA	7,342	5,296		09
BSLTCOMA	8,795	5,440	361	49
BYFDCOMA	6,876	4,919		09
CACYCOMA	21,314	14,518		09
CCCNCOMA	4.024	3,176		09
CFTNCONM	17,034	12.884		09
CLHNCOMA	2,375	1,462		09
CLSPCOEA	99,553	62,065	28,830	299
CLSPCOMA	146,199	78,948	17,446	120
CLSPCOPV	113,246	70,397	39,317	359
CLSPCOSM	38,399	19,299	4,731	120
CNCYCOMA	7,573	3,243	7,731	09
CPMITCOMA	2,613			09
	14,928	1,233 7,221		09
CRAGCOMA				09
CRBTCOMA	7,891	4,752		
CRCKCO01	5,877	3,280	*	09
CRDLCOMA	15,316	9,302		09
CRTZCOMA	14,799	9,365		09
CSRKCONM	52,659	33,273	33,602	
DBEQCONC	913	470	-	01
DCKRCOMA	919	454		0
DELTCOMA	11,508	7,460	<u>-</u>	01
DLLNCOMA	22,920	13,466	1,555	
DLNRCOMA	2,590	1,733		09
DNVRCOCH	80,735	28,259		3
DNVRCOCL	89,410	61,394		149
DNVRCOCP	90,094	47,916	7,555	
DNVRCOCW	47,385	28,822	17,920	
DNVRCODC	145,961	71,506	14,508	109
DNVRCOEA	130,180	73,112	9,064	
DNVRCOMA	150,223	52,775	2,740	2
DNVRCOMB	46,199	26,972		379
DNVRCONE	51,495	27,985	4,778	9
DNVRCONO	58,540	31.048		0
DNVRCOOU	6,371	3,034		O'

Colorado Statistics	
% Total Loops on IDLC	15%
Highest % IDLC in Wire Center LTTNCOHL	65%
Loops on IDLC	662,204
Total Loops	4,554,493
Loops in COs w/ 20%+ IDLC	1,346,605

Qwest data for Colorado shows that, state-wide, 15% of total loops are served by IDLC, with one wire center exhibiting an IDLC concentration of 65%. Out of the total 163 Qwest wire centers in Colorado, twenty-six (26) wire centers have IDLC concentrations of 20% or higher. Eighteen (18) wire centers have IDLC concentrations of between 21%-40%, five (5) wire centers have IDLC concentrations of between 41%-60% and three (3) wire centers have IDLC concentrations of between 61%-80%. The diagram below depicts the wire-center specific IDLC data that is contained in the far left table, by CLLI code.

†DLC		Number of
Concentration	Wire Centers	Loops
0% - 20%	137	3,207,888
21% - 40%	18	1,059,843
41% - 60%	5	121,407
61% - 80%	3	165,355
81% - 100%	0	1 0
Total	163	4,554,493



http://www.uswest.com/cgi-bin/iconn/dlc.cg

DNVRCOSE	75,894	39,368	-	.0%
DNVRCOSH	100,804	55,409	21,063	21% 19%
DNVRCOSL	135,305	68,549	26,080	19%
DNVRCOSO	65,484	37,772	798	1%
DNVRCOSW	89,370	49,033		3%
DNVRCOWS	60,411	31,470		2%
DURNCOMA	45,345	24,952	20 156	44%
EATNCOMA	4,650	3,258		0%
ELBRCOMA	1,888	1,206		0%
ELZBC001	9,635	6,693	1,661	
ENWDCOAB	77,629	28,502		40%
ENVIDOOAB	11,025	20,302	30,192	3,43
ENWDCOMA	49,308	28,044	32	0%
ERIECOMA	5,631	4,150	1,406	
			1,400	23%
ESPKCOMA	16,202	10,115	7 500	
EVRGCOMA	29,773	18,713	7,530	
FLRNCOMA	6,271	3,802		0%
FONTCOMA	10,417	6,752		0%
FRDRCOMA	8,968	5,784		0%
FRPLCOMA	5,334	3,809		0%
FRSCCOMA	7,467	4,915	-	0%
FRSRCOMA	10,319	5,725	-	0%
FRUTCOMA	9,176	6,825	-	0%
FTCLCOHM	64,639	40,170	22,715	35%
FTCLCOMA	92,253	51,428	8,033	9%
FTLPCOMA	8,668	4,701	-	0%
FTMRCOMA	13,351	8,498	-	0%
GDJTCOMA	78,283	50,937	3,231	4%
GDLKCOMA	7,063	3,255	-	0%
GLCRCOMA	967	737		0%
GLDNCOMA	47,986	24,214	12,548	26%
GLSPCOMA	16,635	11,155	-	0%
GMFLCOMA	2,791	1,771	-	0%
GNSNCOMA	12,881	7,076	-	0%
GRELCOJC	25,474	16,085	2,398	9%
GRELCOMA	64,870	36,199	13,923	21%
GRNBCOMA	5,702	4,027	-	0%
				14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1
GRTWCOMA	2,858	1,673	_	0%
HDSNCOMA	3,175	1,665	-	0%
HLRSCOMA	694	278	-	0% 0%
HSSPCOMA	1,357	825	-	0%
HYDNCOMA	3,957	1,757	-	0%
IDSPCOMA	5,008	3,094	-	0%
JHMLCOMA	7,527	5,276	-	
JLBGCOMA	1,928	1,208		0%
KIOWCONM	2,741	1,653		0%
KNBGCOMA	2,125	1,206		0%
KRNGCOMA	4,507	2,113		0%
LDVLCOMA	9,651	4.541		0%
LIMNCOMA	3,376			0%
LKMTCOMA	7,723			0%
LKWDCOMA	76,660	36,685		
LNMTCOMA	89,379			
	3,579			0%
LRKSCONM				
LSLLCOMA	2,889		14 000	0%
LTTNCOHL	63,546	37,994	41,236	65%
LTTNCOMA	75,949		3,330	4% 0%
LTTNCOML	11,598	4,857		0%
LVLDCOMA	64,926	44,094	18,902	29%


LYNSCOMA	3,891	3,036	-	0%
MEADCOMA	4,010	2,212	-	0%
MEKRCOMA	4,917	2,650	.5	0%
MNCSCOMA	2,731	1,674	-	0%
MNMTCOMA	26,209	17,990	13,105	50%
MNSPCOMA	5,980	3,616	•	0%
MNTRCOMA	1,826	993	-	0%
MRSNCOMA	12,933	8,018		0%
MTRSCOMA	26,695	17,752	705	3%
MTVSCOMA	6,864	4,492	-	0%
MVNPCOMA	357	130	-	0%
NDLDCOMA	4,399	2,905	1,163	26%
NGLNCOMA	93,094	56,234		12%
NIWTCOMA	4,880	2,988	289	6%
NWCSCOMA	4,504	2,640	1	0%
OKCKCOMA	2,713	1,335	-	0%
OLTHCOMA	4,154	2,525		0%
OURYCOMA	3,197	1,395	•	0%
OVIDCOMA	1,751	460	_	0%
PACHCO01	5,369	2,882	-	0%
PLSDCOMA	3,962	2,544	-	0%
PNRSCOMA	3,531	2,260		0%
PRKRCOMA	49,150	29,084	30,009	61%
PTVLCOMA	3,043	1,845	-	0%
PUBLCO06	15,404	10,356	2,382	15%
PUBLCOMA	70,024	40,329	8,022	11%
PUBLCOSU	29,169	19,314	1,449	5%
PYTNCOMA	2,720	1,595	830	31%
RDGWCOMA	3,489	2,251	-	0%
RIFLCOMA	12,364	6,253	-	0%
SALDCOMA	9,575	6,628	+	0%
SCRTCOMA	30,873	21,657	280	1%
SFRKCOMA	2,630	1,658		0%
SILTCOMA	5,364	2,518	-	0%
SLTNCOMA	1,061	732	-	0%
SNMSCOMA	10,823	6,501	288	3%
STNGCOMA	19,338	10,464	-	0%
STSPCOMA	29,914	16,726	-	0%
TEMACOMA	34,699	19,540		0%
TLRDCOMA	13,547	8,184	363	3%
TRNDCOMA	13,567	7,466	-,	0%
VAILCOMA	17,459	10,576	-	0%
VNLDCOMA	6,774	3,365	-	0%
		_		
WARDCOMA	1,241	579	-	0%
WDPKCOMA	14,002	10,091	3,152	23%
WLBGCOMA	5,966	3,012	_	0%
WLDACOMA	1,443	526	-	0%
WMNSCOMA	80,486	39,873	19,724	25%
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
WNDSCOMA	12,995	8,867	1,332	10%
YAMPCOMA	1,137	525		0%
TAMPCOMA	1,13/	525		0%

	Total	Loops	Loops w	% Total
	Loops	In Service	Integrated	Loops
CLLI Code			OLC	on IDLC
CALL COUR				UNIECO
AMFLIDMA	4,736	2,776	_	0%
BLFTIDMA	13,580	8,581	1,101	8%
BLSSIDMA	808	350	1,101	0%
DEGOTORIA	000	3301		
BNCRIDMA	635	346		0%
BOISIDMA	133,070	72,404	21,542	16%
BOISIDNW	9,762	6,193	711	7%
BOISIDSW	14,633	9,220		7%
BOISIDWE	71,777	46,731	7,878	11%
BRLYIDMA	13,867	6,822	,,510	0%
BUHLIDMA	6,425	4,338		0%
CLWLIDMA	34,112	21,157	3,110	9%
CRGMID01	1.485	850	5,110	Ω%
CSFRIDMA	689	359		0%
CTWDID01	2,217	1,276		. 0%
DECLIDMA	1,283	810		0%
DWNYIDMA	1,202	556	_	0%
DYTNIDMA	1,080	689		0%
EAGLIDNM	22,574	14,512	7,683	34%
EDHZIDMA	2,835	1,222	- 1,000	0%
EMMTIDMA	10,251	7.067	86	1%
FKLNIDMA	848	402		0%
FRTHIDMA	1,470	911		0%
GAVLID01	5.987	3.561		0%
GDNGIDMA	3,967	2,999	293	7%
GLFYIDMA	2,046	1,443	-	0%
GRACIDMA	1,428	824	-	0%
HALYIDMA	13,042	9,552	3,410	26%
HGMNIDMA	2,378	1,359		0%
IDCYIDMA	1,729	1,279	-	0%
IDFLIDMA	74,592	44,939	4,268	6%
INKMIDMA	1,417	905		0%
JERMIDNM	10,400	7,574	901	9%
KAMHID01	4,200	2,253	-	0%
KMBRIDMA	4,497	2,637	820	18%
KOSKID01	2,756	1,625	-	0%
KTCHIDMA	19,791	12,921	1,351	7%
KUNAIDMA	5,714	4,311	-	0%
LAPWID01	2,723	1,435	-	0%
LHSPIDMA	1,377	711		0%
LSMNIDMA	2,558	1,444	•	0%
LSTNIDSH	46,330	28,520	-	<b>C</b> *
MCCMIDMA	1,363	918	-	0%
MDTNIDMA	4,473	3,192	380	
MELBIDMA	2,397	1,395	-	0%
MRDNIDMA	42,205	26,362	21,979	
MRTGIDMA	976	462	162	17%
AMDIOHTM	11,438	8,337		0%
MTHOIDSO	4,491	1,615		0%
MTPLIDMA	4,201	2,459	87	
NMPAIDMA	56,304	36,806	9,746	
NPMOIDMA	2,257	1,792	288	
NZPRID01	929	482	F-10	0%
PCTLIDMA PCTLIDNO	37,689 21,895	21,571 13,313	513 2.191	

% Total Loops on IDLC		120	129
Highest % IDLC in Wire	1.0		4.1.4.
Center MRDNIDMA	t, Jarenne	2.055	529
Loops on IDLC			100,206
Total Loops			855,171
Loops in COs w/ 20%+	**************************************		
IDLC	e salitar	Desi	77.821

IDLC Concentration	Number of Wire Centers	Number of Loops	
0% - 20%	66	777,350	
21% - 40%	2	35,616	
41% - 60%	1 1	42,205	
61% - 80%	0	- '	
81% - 100%	0	0	
Totals	69	855,171	

Qwest data for Idaho shows that, state-wide, 12% of total loops are served by IDLC, with one wire center exhibiting an IDLC concentration of 52%. Out of the total 69 Qwest wire centers in Idaho, three (3) wire centers have IDLC concentrations of 20% or higher (serving 77,821 loops). Two (2) wire centers have IDLC concentrations of between 21%-40% and one (1) wire center has an IDLC concentration of between 41%-60%. The diagram below depicts the wire-center specific IDLC data that is contained in the far left table, by CLLI code.



http://www.uswest.com/cgi-bin/iconn/dlc.cg

PSTNIDMA	5,441	3,727	375	7%
PYTTIDMA	7,295	4,599	-	0%
RBRTIDMA	1,006	506	-	0%
RGBYIDMA	6,652	4,630	•	0%
RIRIIDMA	1,885	1,119	192	10%
RVSDIDMA	4,591	2,858		0%
RXBGIDMA	16,797	10,870	2,061	12%
SDSPIDMA	3,912	2,585	292	7%
SHLYIDMA	4,746	3,121	92	2%
SHSHIDMA	1,994	1,495	-	0%
STARIDNM	4,304	2,437	165	4%
THTCIDMA	431	216	-	0%
TWFLIDMA	39,554	26,112	6,907	17%
WESRIDMA	6,771	3,955	518	8%
WNDLIDMA	2,903	2,178	95	3%